



KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. II വാല്യം 2	} THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	29th January 2013 2013 ജനുവരി 29	No. നമ്പർ	} 5
		9th Magha 1934 1934 മാഘം 9		

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS (1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1992/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 27th December 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Plant Lipids Pvt. Ltd., Kadayiruppu, Kolancherry and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Ernakulam District Industrial Estate Masdoor Sangh (B. M. S.), BMS Office, Court Road, Perumbavoor in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of the worker Smt. Sini, P. by the management of Plant Lipids Pvt. Ltd., Kadayiruppu is justifiable ? If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to ?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 2017/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th December 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri K. Sadasivan, Managing Director, Prasanthi Hotel & Bar, Parassala, Thiruvananthapuram and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Sri Manacaud Chandrankutty, General Secretary, Thiruvananthapuram District Hotel & Restaurant Employees Congress (INTUC), T.C. 44/1582, Shankhuchakra Building, Thiruvananthapuram-23 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of Sri R. Raghul and Sri S. S. Visakh, workers from the service of Prasanthi Hotel & Bar, Parassala by its management is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs they are entitled to?

(3)

G O. (Rt.) No. 10/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, KSRTC, Transport Bhavan, Fort, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. G. Somarajan Pillai, Puthanvila Thekkethil Veedu, Anayadi P. O., Shooranad, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the removal of Sri K. G. Somarajan Pillai from the service of KSRTC as Conductor is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(4)

G O. (Rt.) No. 12/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the PTA President, Government Higher Secondary School, Tirurangadi, Malappuram District, (2) the Chairman, Noon Feeding Committee, Government Higher Secondary School, Tirurangadi, Malappuram District and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Baby, M., Eledath, Munniyoor, Tirurangadi, Malappuram District represented by the Secretary, Malappuram District School Pachaka Thozhilali Union (CITU), District Committee Office, Uphill, Malappuram District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is

necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Baby, M., Cook in Government Higher Secondary School, Tirurangadi by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief she is entitled to?

(5)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 19/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Sudheerkumar, Managing Partner, New Geetha Bakery, Mele Chovva, Kannur-6 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kannur District Shop Establishment & Commercial Workers Union (INTUC), Fort Road, Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Kumari P. Savitha by the management of New Geetha Bakery, Mele Chovva, Kannur with effect from 5-2-2012 is justifiable? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?

(6)

G O. (Rt.) No. 21/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri T. Muhammed Mustafa (Managing Director, Tharayil Automobiles Pvt. Ltd.), s/o Tharayil Muhammed Musliary (Late), Tharayil House, Makkaraparamba P. O., Malappuram District (2) Sri T. Abdul Rahim (Executive

Director, Tharayil Automobiles Pvt. Ltd.), s/o Tharayil Muhammed Musliary (Late), Tharayil House, Makkaraparamba P. O., Malappuram District and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri F. J. Samuel, 24 D, O. P. Quarters, Near Anganavadi, Angadippuram P. O., Malappuram District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri F. J. Samuel by the management of Tharayil Automobiles Pvt. Ltd., Tirurkad is justifiable? If not, what is the remedy ?

By order of the Governor,

RAMANKUTTY, C.,
Under Secretary to Government.
